

# Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

## History of Activity, All Reviews, Division I (10) - 2010 to 2014

Page 1 of 2

	2010	2011	% Chg (9)	2012	% Chg (9)	2013	% Chg (9)	2014	% Chg (9)
FILINGS									
Total Filings	1,803	1,659	-8 %	1,559	-6 %	1,654	6.1 %	1,457	-11.9 %
RESOLUTIONS (1) (11)									
Opinions Published	109	160	46.8 %	125	-21.9 %	132	5.6 %	127	-3.8 %
Opinions Unpublished	565	548	-3 %	588	7.3 %	628	6.8 %	658	4.8 %
Opinions	674	708	5 %	713	0.7 %	760	6.6 %	785	3.3 %
Dismissed	323	274	-15.2 %	316	15.3 %	350	10.8 %	287	-18 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	7	7	. %	5	. %	6	. %	6	. %
Review Not Accepted	8	25	. %	27	. %	32	. %	30	. %
Other Terminations	14	8	. %	13	. %	10	. %	5	. %
Orders	352	314	-10.8 %	361	15 %	398	10.2 %	328	-17.6 %
Decided on Merits	38	24	. %	12	. %	13	. %	29	. %
Dismissed	344	342	-0.6 %	350	2.3 %	373	6.6 %	325	-12.9 %
Transferred/Certified (2)	0	1	. %	0	. %	1	. %	1	. %
Review Not Accepted	120	67	-44.2 %	58	-13.4 %	66	13.8 %	96	45.5 %
Other Terminations	7	13	. %	16	. %	6	. %	13	. %
Rulings	509	447	-12.2 %	436	-2.5 %	459	5.3 %	464	1.1 %
Other Decisions Term. Review (3)	0	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	861	761	-11.6 %	797	4.7 %	857	7.5 %	792	-7.6 %
Total Resolved	1,535	1,469	-4.3 %	1,510	2.8 %	1,617	7.1 %	1,577	-2.5 %

**1** As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

**2** Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

**3** Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

**4** For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

**5** As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

**6** Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

**7** Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

**8** Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

**9** Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

**10** To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

**11** As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.

# Caseloads of the Courts of Washington

## History of Activity, All Reviews, Division I (10) - 2010 to 2014

Page 2 of 2

	2010	2011	% Chg (9)	2012	% Chg (9)	2013	% Chg (9)	2014	% Chg (9)
PENDING AT YEAR END (5)									
Cases Stayed	100	103	3 %	103	0 %	70	-32 %	85	21.4 %
Brief Not Filed	680	728	7.1 %	667	-8.4 %	695	4.2 %	712	2.4 %
Appellant Brief Filed	163	136	-16.6 %	143	5.1 %	135	-5.6 %	5	. %
Respondent Brief Filed	392	481	22.7 %	402	-16.4 %	351	-12.7 %	315	-10.3 %
Remanded to Trial Court	0	2	. %	1	. %	0	. %	0	. %
Set for Commissioners' Calendar	8	8	. %	6	. %	14	. %	20	. %
Ruling Referred to Panel (6)	3	3	. %	10	. %	9	. %	1	. %
Set for Oral Argument (7)	110	73	-33.6 %	110	50.7 %	93	-15.5 %	115	23.7 %
Total Awaiting Hearing	1,456	1,534	5.4 %	1,442	-6 %	1,367	-5.2 %	1,253	-8.3 %
Opinion/Order in Process	75	64	-14.7 %	71	10.9 %	65	-8.5 %	29	. %
Total Pending Decision	1,531	1,598	4.4 %	1,513	-5.3 %	1,432	-5.4 %	1,282	-10.5 %
MANDATED (1)									
Opinion Terminating Review	785	754	-3.9 %	672	-10.9 %	867	29 %	828	-4.5 %
Order Terminating Review	327	323	-1.2 %	361	11.8 %	363	0.6 %	340	-6.3 %
Ruling Terminating Review	579	523	-9.7 %	445	-14.9 %	481	8.1 %	466	-3.1 %
Other Decision Terminating Review (8)	21	15	. %	21	. %	19	. %	12	. %
Total Orders/Rulings/Other (4)	927	861	-7.1 %	827	-3.9 %	863	4.4 %	818	-5.2 %
Total Mandated	1,712	1,615	-5.7 %	1,499	-7.2 %	1,730	15.4 %	1,646	-4.9 %

**1** As a result of changes in resolution coding procedures in 2005, resolutions and mandated are now categorized by Opinions, Orders Terminating Review, and Rulings Terminating Review. Figures for these categories are reported for the first time in 2005.

**2** Includes both matters transferred to other divisions and those certified to the Supreme Court.

**3** Includes decisions entered prior to more-specific coding (orders/rulings) adopted during 2005.

**4** For years prior to 2005, it is not possible to distinguish the different types of resolution. Figures are broadly aggregated and shown as the sum of these resolution types.

**5** As a result of the recent transition to the new Appellate Court Records and Data System(ACORDS-II), measurement of the various stages of pending caseload are not comparable to measurements for prior years. Extreme caution should be used in interpreting 2003 and future activity relative to that shown for prior years.

**6** Ruling Referred to Panel status was reintroduced to ACORDS in February 2004. As a result, the trend figures are not comparable.

**7** Set for Oral Argument counts are influenced by procedural differences among divisions in setting cases. Division III, due to hearings in multiple locations, often delays the setting of cases until there are sufficient numbers to warrant travel, but cases are usually set only six weeks out.

**8** Includes completions for secondary cases, which are those consolidated with a primary case and disposed by mandate/certificate of finality entered in the primary case.

**9** Percentages are not reported when numbers are small (less than 30).

**10** To alleviate case congestion, Division II transferred 50 cases in 2009 and 45 cases in 2010 (which would otherwise have been heard in Division II) to Division I for preparation of opinions and mandates. A transferred resolution appears for each of these cases in Division II figures. Each transferred case is included in filing counts in both divisions. The opinions for those transferred cases are included in Division I figures, only.

**11** As of 2010, Resolution counts have been updated to report only primary case resolutions. Secondary case resolutions have been excluded from all of the resolution counts reported.